ı | ı . ı | ı . cısco



Optical Transport Innovations for High Capacity Networks

Aaurizio Gazzola – Sr. Product Line Manager, DWDM Solutions

How To Increase Capacity of Transport Networks?

Two Main Leverages...

- Higher Bit Rate Wavelengths
- More Wavelengths per Fiber

- ...and Two Key Questions
- Does Distance have a Role?
- Super-Fast Dumb Pipe or Transport Network able to Interact?

Higher Bit Rate Wavelengths



Workshop

Cisco Confidential

Simply Faster?!?

- All Transmission Effects scale with Channel's bit-rate:
 - CD Tolerance scales as the Square of Bit Rate (800ps/nm @ 10G → 50ps/nm @ 40G)
 - PMD Tolerance, OSNR Requirement, Bandwidth and Unregenerated Reach scale Linearly with Bit Rate (10ps @ 10G → 2.5ps @ 40G)
- Transition between 2.5Gbps and 10Gbps has introduced the use of FEC coding
- Transition to 40Gbps, 100Gbps, 1,000Gbps cannot be supported only by more powerful coding
 - Increase Complexity of Modulation Schema and Optical Receiver to provide an effective answer: CP-DQPSK

CP-DQPSK Modulation Details

- CP: Coherent Polarized
 - Leverages on Polarization Multiplexing to transmit with Lower Baud Rate (e.g. 40Gbps as 10GBaud)
 - Leverages on Coherent Detection and Electronic Post-Processing to provide high tolerance to PMD and CD
- DQPSK: Differential QPSK
 - Differential operating mode provides required system robustness against Laser Cycle Slips
 - Laser Cycle Slips can be caused by ASE, Laser Phase Noise and XPM (induced by 10G IM/DD channels)
 - Even w/o 10G channels ASE and Laser Phase Noise can cause Laser Cycle Slips

Coherent Optical Transmission Benefits

High Chromatic Dispersion (CD) Robustness

- Can avoid Dispersion Compensation Units (DCUs)
- No need to have precise Fiber Characterization
- Simpler Network Design

High Polarization Mode Dispersion (PMD) Robustness

- High Bit Rate Wavelengths deployable on all Fiber types
- No need for "fancy" PMD Compensator devices
- No need to have precise Fiber Characterization

Low Optical Signal-to-Noise Ratio (OSNR) Needed

- More capacity at greater distances w/o OEO Regeneration
- Possibility to launch lower per-channel Power
- Higher tolerance to Channels Interferences

Can CP-DQPSK Resolve All Our Problems?

- 40Gbps Wavelengths
 - 20Gb/s ADC Required @ Receiver
 - Optical Bandwidth of ~10GHz
- 100Gbps Wavelengths
 - 50Gb/s ADC Required @ Receiver
 - Optical Bandwidth of ~25GHz
- 1,000Gbps Wavelengths
 - 500Gb/s ADC Required @ Receiver
 - Optical Bandwidth of ~250GHz





Need Extra "Complexity" to address 1,000Gbps Wavelengths

Beyond 100G: Higher-level Modulation Formats

Scattering diagram for CP-16QAM (4+4bit/symbol)

Scattering diagram for CP-64QAM (8+8bit/symbol)









CP-16QAM vs. CP-DQPSK



OSNR Gain of CP-DQPSK vs. CP-16QAM is:

- 4 dB comparing at Constant Bit-Rate
- 7 dB comparing at Constant Baud-Rate

Need a Solution which would NOTsacrifice Reach: Super-Channel

The Terabit Super-Channel

- Information distributed over a few Sub-Carriers spaced as closely as possible forming a 1,000Gbps Super-Channel
- Each Sub-Carrier transporting a lower Bit Rate, compatible with current ADCs and DSPs



Super-Channel #2 Super-Channel #3

Terabit Super-Channel Experiment: Setup

<u>OFC 2010</u>: "Investigation of the Impact of Ultra-Narrow Carrier Spacing on the Transmission of a 10-Carrier 1Tb/s Superchannel"



Terabit Super-Channel Experiment: Spectrum



Terabit Super-Channel Experiment: Results

 \rightarrow 41 spans at BER=3e-3 with spacing 1.2

→ 35 spans at BER=3e-3 with spacing 1.1



Examples of Terabit Super-Channel

- Sub-Carrier spacing: 1.2 times the Baud Rate
- Different approaches for 1,000Gb/s:
 - **CP-QPSK**: 10 Sub-Carriers at 111 Gbit/s each back-to-back sensitivity 12 dB
 - **CP-8QAM:** 8 Sub-Carriers at 138.75 Gbit/s each back-to-back sensitivity 16.1 dB
 - **CP-16QAQM**: 5 Sub-Carriers at 222 Gbit/s each back-to-back sensitivity 19.1 dB
- System Configuration:
 - Span of 90km each (ITU-T G.652) Span Insertion Loss: 25dB



More Wavelengths per Fiber



Workshop

Cisco Confidential

How Much Optical Spectrum Can Be Used?

- Virtually all the DWDM Systems deployed in the world leverage on the C-band part of the Optical Spectrum (1530nm – 1560nm)
- L-band Systems are available and have been selectively deployed
- Systems which can combine C-band and L-band on the same fiber pair are available
- Reference number of Wavelength in the C-band is 80 @ 50GHz Channels Spacing

Would it be Possible / Practical to do more?

EDFA Performances vs. Channel Count



- 80Chs @ 50GHz Spacing in the C-Band is NOT a Physical limit
- There is the possibility to grow the Channel Count to 96Chs or more
- Additional Channels add Complexity (Power Consumption, Space, Cost) to the Amplifier

And My Two Questions?



Workshop

Cisco Confidential

Does Distance Has a Role?

- Not all the Systems are created equal
- Different Modulation Formats allows to trade Capacity for Reach
- A Flex Spectrum DWDM System removes ANY restrictions from the Channels Spacing and Modulation Format point of view
 - Possibility to mix very efficiently wavelengths with different Bit Rates on the same system
 - Allows scalability to higher per-channel Bit Rates
 - Allows maximum flexibility in controlling nonlinear effects due to wavelengths interactions (XPM, FWM)
 - Allows support of Alien Multiplex Sections through the DWDM System



Fully Flexibility & Switching @ DWDM Layer

Remotely re-configure wavelengths in any Color and any Direction on ALL Nodes without rewiring ANY fiber. Rapidly reconfigure bandwidth Capitalize on changes in network demand and transitory events



GMPLS DWDM-Aware (WSON) Benefits

Automatic Network Discovery

Node, Link, Network changes

Automatic A-Z Provisioning

• Fast Lambda set up, No pre-planned traffic, Bandwidth on demand

Routing Algorithm DWDM Aware

 Linear (Power, OSNR) and Non-Linear (CD, PMD, FWM, SPM) impairments management

Optical Restoration

- Rapid network re-arrangement, Protection path recovery
- Network Optimization

Extensions to IPoDWDM

- Router/Switch G.709 Interface Visibility
- Manageability Virtual Transponder, NLAC, Inventory, Provisioning

Conclusions

- Coherent Optical Transmission allows today to support 40Gbps wavelengths → 3.2Tbps (80chs @ 40G each) over 3,000Km
- Evolution of FEC and DSP Chips will allow transport of 100Gbps wavelengths at about the same Distance → 8.0Tbps over >2,500Km
- 1,000Gbps per wavelength will require yet another "technology migration" to be usable but is expected to allow about 12.0Tbps over >1,500Km

Control Plane Definition & Interaction



Dual Polarization QPSK: Transmitter



Dual Polarization QPSK: Coherent Detection & Post-Processing



- RX Laser behaves as Local Oscillator to provide a Polarization reference
- 90° Hybrid:
 - Converts Phase modulation in Amplitude modulation
 - Provides In-Phase and Quadrature information $\Phi(i_0, i_1)$
- Signal Processor:
 - Calculates the Inverse Optical System Matrix
 - Recovers Polarization
 - Compensates CD and PMD electronically

The "Price" To Pay



40Gbps CP-QPSK Signal



